

12
w 12. 1696

(120)

The worthly the said Jonathan had sum^t of £3534. pounds of tobacco and t.^s although often demanded his same to the said William in his lifetime nor to the said Elizabeth while she was alive nor to his son George and Elizabeth since his marriage between them had and sold him no tobacco of him late not rendered but have hitherto denied, and still doth deny to the damage of the said George Elizabeth of four thousand pounds of tobacco and these of her bring their suit ex.
 they bring into Court his will testamentary or Joint proper. Bdg. or John Doe.
Rite: Rite.

Bill brought in Jonathan Dowds of Somerset County in the Province of Maryland Cooper my
Pet^r Councillor and Administrators to pay or cause to be paid unto C. William Stevens of Somerset
late Merchant his Hires Councillors Administrators or Assigns the full and just sum^t of two thousand
two hundred thirty four pounds of good sound Merchantable leaf tobacco and Currant at some place
named by d^r of Assembly on the Bay side in Somerset County at or upon the last day of October in anno
as without my hand and seal this Thirtieth day of October 1686.

By the said Bill was bound in Court by the said Councillor as his Act and D^r. &c. —
Ld^r 1755 — 118

Plm: (2^d Pet.) Somerset County vs John Taylor of this County (Attorney at Law) was
attained to answer unto John R^s of the same County in an action of defamatio
act. And whereupon the pl^t by James Saenger his attorney comes and says that
M: Taylor: Dft. His said John R^s being of good fame and in publick trust with the Records
of this County, a place of his mean employ, was by the said John Taylor defamed
in March Court last past in the audience of several credible persons of this
city, most grossly, spitefully, and maliciously, Envied, defamed and slandered by his ignominious
act of Roguery and Unchristianitie, and maliciously affirmit and affirming that the pl^t had lost both
act, had not been the proxime of them, meaning for robbery and Roguery, and not satisfied with
gross abuse and slander agt the pl^t, did in April 89. report, publish and falsly declare that Gilian Cutler
in servante to Graet Horsey had told him that the said Cutler lived with the pl^t in London, where the pl^t
a woman for wh^t the pl^t fled ubi rovata. This said so: now the pl^t says that the defend^t R^s)
ily defamed and slandered the pl^t in his good name and fame but falsely and maliciously ^{in his} around
of the pl^t life in question, rendering him odious to all the good people of this Province and intable of
trust, the pl^t says he is damnified and has lost to the value of five hundred pounds ster.
upon which his suit — Saenger v Joint Attorneys for pl^t. C^d of p^c — John: Esq^r and
Rite: R^c and

12
C: 13-1690: prs & C & Com: thon: Pres: M: ffr: Jen: Enis: m: Sam: Hopkis: Mr: James: Round: M: Com: Newf^d
m: Howard: Mr: George: Layfield: a

To above^d Declaration being read in Court, the defend^t in his own proper person comes and says
that he is ne wayes guilty and of that he doth put^t him self upon the Country. John: Taylor
and the pl^t also. Saenger

Bote parker having thus joynd Jesus, referring the whole matter to the Country for tryall (viz^r by
one of her chosen, who were duly and legally impannelled and sworn, who having heard the whole